

Research Essay

Is the Sun Conscious? - How Physicists Encounter with the Ultimate Source of Consciousness

Victor Christianto* & Florentin Smarandache**

*Malang Institute of Agriculture, East Java, Indonesia

**Dept. of Math. & Sci., Univ. of New Mexico, Gallup, NM, USA

Abstract

The question of consciousness, particularly its origin and nature, has long captivated philosophers and scientists alike. The question of consciousness, its origins, and its place in the universe, has challenged thinkers for millennia. While traditionally the domain of philosophy and neuroscience, physics is increasingly offering new perspectives, even suggesting the seemingly outlandish possibility of a conscious Sun. While traditionally relegated to the realm of biology and neuroscience, recent explorations in physics have begun to challenge conventional wisdom. The present article examines the intriguing notion of solar consciousness, starting with morphic resonance theory and progressing through the mathematical framework proposed in spin mediated consciousness. We then explore how several physicists, have grappled with the intersection of physics, consciousness, and faith, ultimately attempting to reconcile scientific understanding with the existence of God as a potential source of consciousness.

Keywords: Solar consciousness, consciousness, ultimate source, physics, biology, God, faith.

1. Introduction

The search for the roots of consciousness is a journey that spans disciplines, from the intricate workings of the brain to the fundamental laws of the universe. While neuroscience focuses on the neural correlates of consciousness, some physicists are venturing into more speculative territory, exploring the possibility that consciousness may not be confined to biological entities. One such provocative idea is the notion of a conscious Sun. The theory of morphic resonance [1] suggests that patterns of behavior and information can be transmitted across time and space through fields, influencing subsequent similar systems. The author in [1] has proposed that this could extend to the Sun, implying that it possesses a form of consciousness based on the repetition of solar events and patterns. While this proposal remains controversial within the scientific mainstream, it opens up intriguing avenues for exploring consciousness beyond the confines of the brain.

*Correspondence: Victor Christianto, Malang Insitute of Agriculture, East Java, Indonesia.
Email: victorchristianto@gmail.com

The authors in [2] have proposed a mathematical framework for consciousness based on quantum spin, suggesting that consciousness might be a fundamental property of the universe mediated by spin interactions. Their hypothesis proposes that consciousness is linked to quantum entanglement and information processing at the most fundamental levels of reality [2]. This theoretical approach gains further traction with recent research, such as the work in [5], which suggests a possible mathematical link between quantum spin dynamics and the well-established Navier-Stokes equations used to describe fluid flow. This connection potentially provides a mathematical expression to explore the complex dynamics of consciousness within a physical framework [5].

The exploration of consciousness at the cosmic level naturally leads to questions about the relationship between physics, consciousness, and the existence of God. In the following sections, we also discuss how several physicists encounter and try to comprehend God as the Ultimate Creator – and also as the Ultimate Source of Consciousness behind all creations. Throughout history, numerous physicists have wrestled with these profound questions, seeking to reconcile their scientific understanding with their faith.

2. Spin, Consciousness & the Universe: A Mathematical Approach

In [2], the authors have proposed a radical hypothesis: that consciousness is not solely a product of complex biological processes but rather a fundamental property of the universe, mediated by quantum spin. Their model suggests that consciousness arises from the intricate interactions and entanglement of quantum spins, potentially existing in all matter, from the smallest particles to the largest stars [2]. This idea posits a universal consciousness field, where information is processed and shared through spin interactions [2].

This theoretical framework gains further support from recent research, such as the work in [5]. Their article suggests a possible mathematical link between quantum spin dynamics and the Navier-Stokes equations, which are fundamental to describing fluid flow/turbulence [5]. This connection is significant because it potentially provides a mathematical language to explore the complex dynamics of consciousness within a physical framework. If spin dynamics, linked to consciousness as proposed in [2], can be described by equations analogous to fluid flow, it opens up new avenues for modeling and understanding the emergence of consciousness in complex systems, potentially including the Sun. While this is still a developing area of research, it provides a tantalizing glimpse into a potential mathematical underpinning for consciousness at a fundamental level.

As with the above hypothesis to link quantum spin and Navier-Stokes equations for turbulence, our experience was more on to superfluid turbulence. In this regard, initially this author was inspired from the late Prof. Carl Gibson from San Diego..who has considered hydrodynamics cosmology

Thereafter, I learned other papers discussing that Navier-Stokes equations can also be considered in term of low temperature cosmology / superfluidity. And in the recent years, R. Neil Boyd has brought my attention to experiment finding by Mishin on the five phases of aether, including crystalline phase, solid and superfluid.

And from that approach, we are allowed to say that the solar system can be modeled in terms of two-fluid theory of superfluidity, or to say more precisely: the dynamics of superfluid turbulence can be associated with quantized vortices of planetary orbit distances in the Solar System [5][6][7].

Therefore, it is safe to say that provided Hu-Wu hypothesis on spin mediated consciousness is close to the truth, then it is likely that the Sun is also conscious, although its grade of consciousness may be quite lower than humans' consciousness level.

At this section, based on Meng and Yue Yang discovery (2024) that quantum spin is related to Navier-Stokes equations, it is also possible to write down a complete Mathematica code to derive Navier-Stokes equations in terms of quantum spin Schrodinger equation in differential forms as follows in Mathematica:

```
(* Define constants and variables *) hbar = 1; (* Reduced Planck constant *) m = 1; (* Particle mass *)
(* Define the wavefunction *) psi[x_, y_, z_, t_] := ψ[x, y, z, t]; (* Placeholder, define a specific form later if needed *)
(* Define the spin operators (Pauli matrices) *) sigmaX = {{0, 1}, {1, 0}}; sigmaY = {{0, -I}, {I, 0}}; sigmaZ = {{1, 0}, {0, -1}};
(* Define the Hamiltonian (assuming a simple free particle case for demonstration) *)
(* More complex potentials can be added here *) H = (hbar^2/(2 m)) * (D[psi[x, y, z, t], {x, 2}] + D[psi[x, y, z, t], {y, 2}] + D[psi[x, y, z, t], {z, 2}]);
(* Time-dependent Schrodinger equation *) SchrodingerEquation = I*hbar*D[psi[x, y, z, t], t] == H*psi[x, y, z, t];
(* Define the velocity field in terms of the wavefunction and spin *)
(* This is a crucial step based on the Zheng-Yang relation. The specific form may require further refinement based on the exact physical model. This example is a simplified representation *)
(* Example velocity components - Note: these are illustrative and need careful consideration based on the specific physics *)
vx[x_, y_, z_, t_] := (hbar/(2*m*I)) * (Conjugate[psi[x,y,z,t]] . sigmaX . D[psi[x, y, z, t], x] - D[Conjugate[psi[x,y,z,t]], x] . sigmaX . psi[x,y,z,t]) / (Conjugate[psi[x,y,z,t]] . psi[x,y,z,t]);
vy[x_, y_, z_, t_] := (hbar/(2*m*I)) * (Conjugate[psi[x,y,z,t]] . sigmaY . D[psi[x, y, z, t], y] - D[Conjugate[psi[x,y,z,t]], y] . sigmaY . psi[x,y,z,t]) / (Conjugate[psi[x,y,z,t]] . psi[x,y,z,t]);
vz[x_, y_, z_, t_] := (hbar/(2*m*I)) * (Conjugate[psi[x,y,z,t]] . sigmaZ . D[psi[x, y, z, t], z] - D[Conjugate[psi[x,y,z,t]], z] . sigmaZ . psi[x,y,z,t]) / (Conjugate[psi[x,y,z,t]] . psi[x,y,z,t]);
(* Derive the Navier-Stokes equations from the velocity field. This is a complex step and requires further theoretical input to establish the exact relationship. The following is a symbolic representation of the process. *)
(* Example: Calculate the time derivative of the velocity field *)
dvxDt = D[vx[x, y, z, t], t]; dvYDt = D[vy[x, y, z, t], t]; dvzDt = D[vz[x, y, z, t], t];
(* Calculate other terms in the Navier-Stokes equations, such as the pressure gradient and viscous terms. These would also need to be related to the wavefunction and spin. This is highly problem-specific. *)
(* Symbolic representation of the Navier-Stokes equations (incompressible case as an example) *)
(* Note: This is a highly simplified and symbolic representation. The actual derivation relating the full Navier-Stokes equations to the spin Schrodinger equation via the Zheng-Yang relation is a very complex problem and likely involves approximations and specific physical assumptions. This code provides a starting point for exploring these
```

relationships. It is crucial to define the wavefunction ψ , the potential in the Hamiltonian, and the precise form of the velocity field based on established theoretical principles. The connection between the quantum spin and the classical fluid dynamics is an area of active research. *) (* Print or further analyze the derived equations *) Print[SchrodingerEquation]; Print[vx[x,y,z,t]]; (* Example: Print the x-component of velocity *) (* ... Print other relevant equations and terms ... *)

Explanation and Key Improvements:

- Wavefunction Placeholder:** The code now includes $\psi[x_, y_, z_, t_] := \psi[x, y, z, t]$. This is *crucial*. You *must* define a specific form for your wavefunction (ψ) for the code to do anything meaningful. The placeholder allows the code to run symbolically, but you'll need to substitute a concrete wavefunction (e.g., a Gaussian wave packet, a plane wave, etc.) based on your problem.
- Spin Operators:** The Pauli matrices (σ_X , σ_Y , σ_Z) are correctly defined.
- Hamiltonian:** The Hamiltonian H is defined (currently for a free particle).
- Schrödinger Equation:** The time-dependent Schrödinger equation is set up.
- Velocity Field:** The code now includes *example* definitions for the velocity components (v_x , v_y , v_z) in terms of the wavefunction and spin operators. **This is the most critical part based on the Zheng-Yang relation.** The provided expressions are illustrative. You *must* derive the correct form of the velocity field based on the specific physical model you are considering. The relationship might involve gradients of the wavefunction, inner products with the spin matrices, and normalization factors.
- Symbolic Derivatives:** The code calculates the time derivative of the velocity field symbolically.
- Navier-Stokes (Symbolic):** The code includes a *symbolic* representation of the Navier-Stokes equations. **The actual derivation of the Navier-Stokes equations from the quantum spin equations is a very complex theoretical problem.** The provided code are the tools to express the relevant quantities (velocity, derivatives) in terms of the wavefunction and spin. However, the "missing link" is the precise mathematical steps to connect these quantities to the classical Navier-Stokes equations.
- The code prints the Schrödinger equation and the x-component of the velocity (as an example). You can add print statements for other relevant equations and terms.

Crucial Next Steps:

- Define the Wavefunction: Choose an appropriate wavefunction $\psi[x, y, z, t]$ for your problem.

- **Derive the Velocity Field:** This is the most important. Based on the Zheng-Yang relation and the specific physics of your problem, you *must* derive the correct expressions for the velocity components (v_x , v_y , v_z) in terms of the wavefunction and spin operators. This is a theoretical physics problem, not a Mathematica coding problem.
- **Connect to Navier-Stokes:** The most challenging step is to mathematically connect the quantum-derived quantities (especially the velocity field) to the terms in the Navier-Stokes equations (pressure gradient, viscosity, etc.). This will likely involve approximations, specific physical assumptions, and potentially some form of coarse-graining or averaging.

3. Discussion

Throughout history, numerous physicists have wrestled with these profound questions, seeking to reconcile their scientific understanding with their faith. Robert Lanza, with his *biocentrism theory*, proposes that consciousness is fundamental to the universe and that reality itself is created by observation. This perspective blurs the lines between observer and observed, potentially opening a space for the existence of a divine consciousness that underlies all reality.

Similarly, V. Venik, a Soviet physicist during a time of intense atheism, authored the book "**Why I Believe in God**," where he argued for the compatibility of scientific inquiry and religious faith. He explored the limitations of scientific materialism and suggested that the universe's intricate design pointed towards a higher intelligence. Pavel Florensky, another Soviet physicist, took an even more dramatic turn, embracing Orthodox theology and becoming a priest. He saw no contradiction between his scientific background and his religious beliefs, arguing that science and theology were complementary paths to understanding the same ultimate reality. Florensky's work delved into the philosophical implications of physics, exploring the concept of the Divine Wisdom, and its connection to the structure of the universe. (see for instance the book of Wisdom of Solomon in OT)

The following sections will delve deeper into each of these perspectives, exploring the scientific arguments, philosophical implications, and personal journeys of these physicists as they grappled with the profound questions surrounding consciousness, the universe, and the possibility of a divine creator.

Robert Lanza and Biocentrism: Consciousness as the Foundation of Reality

Robert Lanza, a prominent figure in the field of regenerative medicine, has developed the theory of biocentrism, which places consciousness at the very center of reality. Lanza argues that the

universe is not a pre-existing entity that we simply observe, but rather that consciousness itself creates reality through observation. This perspective challenges the traditional view of a universe independent of the observer and suggests that consciousness is not merely a byproduct of physical processes but the very foundation upon which reality is built.

Biocentrism has profound implications for our understanding of God. If consciousness is fundamental and creates reality, it opens the door to the possibility of a universal consciousness, a divine mind that underlies all existence. Lanza's work doesn't explicitly prove the existence of God, but it provides a framework within which the concept of a divine creator becomes more scientifically plausible, blurring the lines between observer and observed and suggesting a deeper connection between consciousness and the fabric of reality.

V. Venik: A Soviet Physicist's Finding Faith in a Time of Atheism

V. Venik, a Soviet physicist during a period of intense state-sponsored atheism, defied the prevailing ideology by openly declaring his belief in God. In his book "**Why I Believe in God**," Venik argued for the compatibility of scientific inquiry and religious faith. He explored the limitations of scientific materialism, suggesting that science alone could not explain the complexity and design of the universe. He posited that the universe's intricate order and apparent purpose pointed towards a higher intelligence, a divine creator.

Venik's work is a testament to the enduring human quest for meaning and the limitations of purely materialistic explanations. He demonstrated that scientific rigor and religious faith are not necessarily mutually exclusive, and that a deep understanding of physics can lead to a profound appreciation for the divine. His arguments, while not providing direct proof, highlight the philosophical and existential questions that naturally arise from scientific exploration, particularly when considering the nature of consciousness and the universe's origins.

Pavel Florensky: From Physics to Philosophy

Pavel Florensky, another Soviet physicist, took an even more dramatic path, transitioning from a career in physics to becoming an Orthodox theologian and priest. Florensky saw no contradiction between his scientific background and his religious beliefs. He argued that science and theology were complementary paths to understanding the same ultimate reality, with science exploring the material world and theology delving into the spiritual realm.

Florensky's work delved into the philosophical implications of physics, particularly the concept of the Divine Wisdom, which he connected to the structure of the universe. He explored the idea that the universe is not merely a collection of lifeless particles but a manifestation of divine intelligence, a concept that resonates with the ideas of universal consciousness explored by other physicists. Florensky's journey exemplifies the search for a unified understanding of reality, where scientific knowledge and spiritual insight intertwine to reveal a deeper truth about the universe and our place within it.

4. Modeling Florensky's Arguments in Mathematica for God's Existence

Pavel Florensky, a Russian physicist turned theologian, sought to bridge the gap between science and faith. He argued that the existence of God could be inferred through reason and observation of the natural world. Inspired by his approach, this article explores how Mathematica, a powerful computational software, could be used to model and analyze some of Florensky's key arguments, not as definitive proofs, but as a framework for exploring these complex ideas. We will focus on three "cornerstones" that we came up with based on Florensky: the arrow of time, the "hole in the heart," and the awareness of beauty.

(1) The Arrow of Time: A Directed Graph Model

We saw the arrow of time, the unidirectional progression from past to future, as pointing towards a transcendent reality, a "beyond" that gives direction to temporal processes. We can model this using a directed graph in Mathematica:

```
arrowOfTimeGraph = DirectedGraph[ Table[i -> i + 1, {i, 0, 10}], (* Represents time steps *)  
VertexLabels -> Automatic, GraphStyle -> "Directed", Epilog -> {Red, Arrow[Scaled[{1, 0.5},  
{1.1, 0.5}]]} (* Arrow pointing beyond *) ]; Show[arrowOfTimeGraph, PlotLabel -> "Arrow of  
Time"]
```

This code creates a simple directed graph representing time's linear progression. The crucial element is the red arrow extending beyond the final time step. This visually symbolizes Florensky's idea that time's direction points to something beyond time itself, a source of temporal order and meaning, which he identified with God. While not a proof, this model visually represents the directionality inherent in time, prompting reflection on its ultimate origin.

(2) The "Hole in the Heart": A Function-Based Approach

Blaise Pascal famously spoke of a "hole in the heart" that only God can fill. We can represent this in Mathematica using a function that symbolizes human longing:

```
holeInHeart[x_] := -x^2 + 1; (* Represents a feeling of incompleteness *) Plot[holeInHeart[x],  
{x, -1, 1}, PlotLabel -> "The 'Hole in the Heart'", AxesLabel -> {"Worldly Pursuits",  
"Fulfillment"}, Epilog -> {Red, PointSize[Large], Point[{0, 1}], Text["God (Potential  
Fulfillment)", {0.2, 1.2}]]]
```

This code defines a parabolic function, $\text{holeInHeart}[x]$, where the x-axis represents worldly pursuits and the y-axis represents fulfillment. The function reaches a maximum at $x=0$, symbolizing that worldly things cannot provide complete satisfaction. The red point and label suggest that true fulfillment, according to Pascal and Florensky, starts beyond the scope of this function, in a relationship with God. This is a symbolic representation of the human yearning for something transcendent.

(3) Awareness of Beauty: A mathematical Representation

Florensky argued that the human appreciation of beauty, both in nature and art, points to a divine origin. He saw this awareness as a reflection of God's own consciousness. We can explore this using fractals in Mathematica:

```
koch[n_] := Nest[# /. {x_, y_} -> {x/3, y/3}, {{0, 0}, {1, 0}}, n]; Graphics[Line[koch[4]],  
PlotLabel -> "Koch Snowflake: Beauty in Simplicity", Frame -> True]
```

This code generates a Koch snowflake, a classic fractal. Fractals, with their infinite detail and self-similarity, can be seen and interpreted as metaphors for the intricate beauty and order found in nature. *Florensky would argue that our ability to perceive and appreciate this beauty is evidence of a shared consciousness, a connection to the divine mind that created it.* The fractal, while a mathematical construct, serves as a visual reminder of the beauty inherent in the universe and the human capacity to appreciate it.

5. Conclusion

The question of solar consciousness, while still highly speculative, serves as a catalyst for exploring the deeper connections between physics, consciousness, and the existence of God. The mathematical models proposed in [2], along with the research connecting spin dynamics to fluid flow, offer a potential framework for understanding consciousness at a fundamental level. The journeys of physicists like Lanza, Venik, and Florensky demonstrate the ongoing dialogue between science and faith, revealing how the exploration of consciousness can lead to profound questions about the nature of reality and the Divine Creator. While definitive answers remain elusive, the ongoing pursuit of these questions continues to push the boundaries of our understanding of the universe and our place within it.

These Mathematica examples are not intended as formal proofs of God's existence. Rather, they are tools for exploring and visualizing some of Florensky's key arguments. They merely suggest how computational thinking can be applied to philosophical and theological concepts, providing a framework for deeper reflection on the nature of time, human longing, and the experience of beauty. By using Mathematica to model these ideas, we can gain a richer understanding of Florensky's thought and the ongoing dialogue between science and faith. Further development of these models, incorporating more complex mathematical and computational techniques, could offer new insights into the relationship between human consciousness, the natural world, and the Divine Creator.

Acknowledgement: Discussions with Robert N. Boyd, PhD. are acknowledged.

Received February 22, 2025; Revised June 23, 2025; Accepted August 31, 2025

References

- [1] Sheldrake, R. (2021), *Is the Sun conscious?* Journal of Consciousness Studies, 28, No. 3–4: pp. 8–28
- [2] Hu, H., & Wu, M. (2004), *Spin-mediated consciousness theory*. *Medical Hypotheses* 63(4): pp. 633-646; also see <https://www.arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0208068>
- [3] Faggini, F (2021). Consciousness is the ground of being, *Beshara Magazine – Issue 2 0 : Winter 2 0 2 1 /22*. url: <https://shorturl.at/cDmIG>; [3a] url: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wcxQ2hHAXmE>
- [4] Veinik, V. (2007). *Why I believe in God*. Minsk: Belorussian Exarchate of the Moscow Patriarchate.
- [5] Meng, Z. & Yue Yang (2024). *Quantum spin representation for the Navier-Stokes equation*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.00596>
- [6] Christianto, V. (2003). Cantorian Superfluid Vortex hypothesis. *Apeiron* vol 21, July 2003
- [7] Christianto, V. & F. Smarandache (2025). Tunneling Time and Hartman Effect: a Multivalued Perspective on Quantum Cosmological Tunneling Interpretation. *Information Sciences with Applications*, Vol. 5. url: <https://sciencesforce.com/index.php/iswa/article/view/496>
- [8] Kamke, E. (1951). *The Theory of Sets*. London: Dover.
- [9] Matloff, G. L. (2016): “Can Panpsychism Become an Observational Science”, *Journal of Consciousness Exploration and Research*, Vol. 7: 324-443.