## Realization

## The Revealed Yet Still Hidden Relation between Form & the Formless

Steven E. Kaufman\*

## **ABSTRACT**

Science holds that it is form that gives rise to the Formlessness by which all form is apprehended. Science has never even considered the opposite possibility. What is the opposite possibility? That it is when formless Consciousness reaches a certain level of complexity that physical forms poof into existence. How does that which is formless become complex? By flowing in relation to itself, over and over and over again.

**Key Words**: Consciousness. revealed, hidden, relation, form, formless.

That which sees cannot Itself be seen.

That which hears cannot Itself be heard.

That which feels cannot Itself be felt.

That which comprehends cannot Itself be comprehended.

What is seen and heard and felt and comprehended are all forms.

That which sees and hears and feels and comprehends is formless.

And so in a world of sight and sound and feeling and comprehension, That which apprehends it all has been completely forgotten, or if it is remembered, has been cast aside as unimportant, or as less important than what is seen and heard and felt and comprehended.

And so science holds that it is form that gives rise to the Formlessness by which all form is apprehended.

Science holds that when physical form reaches a certain level of complexity that formless Consciousness poofs into being.

Science has no proof of this, it is only an idea, a belief, mistaken for fact.

Science has never even considered the opposite possibility.

What is the opposite possibility?

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Steven E. Kaufman, Independent Researcher. <a href="http://www.unifiedreality.com">http://www.unifiedreality.com</a>
E-mail: <a href="mailto:skaufman@unifiedreality.com">skaufman@unifiedreality.com</a>

That it is when formless Consciousness reaches a certain level of complexity that physical forms poof into existence.

How does That which is formless become complex?

By flowing in relation to Itself, over and over and over again.

When the Formless first flows in relation to Itself, the first forms that poof into existence are what we refer to as emotions.

And so arises one level of complexity, one level of reality, composed of formless Being flowing in relation to Itself, and then apprehending as experiential reality, as emotional reality, the forms, the boundaries, that arise within Itself as it flows in relation to Itself, and so becomes defined in relation to Itself.

And then, while already flowing in relation to Itself, while already creating and apprehending emotional reality, the Formless flows in relation to Itself again.

And when the Formless again flows in relation to Itself, while already flowing in relation to Itself, the next forms that poof into existence are what we refer to as thoughts.

And so arises a second level of complexity, a second level of reality, composed of the same formless Being flowing in relation to Itself, and then apprehending as experiential reality, as mental reality, the additional forms that arise within Itself as it flows yet again in relation to Itself.

And when the Formless flows yet again in relation to Itself, while already flowing in relation to Itself, as it already flows in relation to Itself, the next forms that poof into existence are what we refer to as physical objects.

And so arises a third level of complexity, a third level of reality, composed of the same formless Being flowing in relation to Itself, and then apprehending as experiential reality, as physical reality, the further forms that arise within Itself as it flows once again in relation to Itself.

Which seems more likely, that form already is and then somehow combines with itself to somehow create the formless Consciousness by which all form is apprehended, or that the Formless already is and then flows in relation to Itself, thereby creating the forms it then apprehends as reality?

Prior to the advent of quantum physics it certainly seemed that form had an objective existence, independent of the Formlessness by which all form is apprehended.

But with the advent of quantum physics it has become apparent that how form appears, that the form that is created, has no existence apart from the Formlessness by which it is apprehended.

ISSN: 2153-8212

Be in relation to what is there in one way and this form appears. Be in relation to what is there in the opposite way and the opposite form appears.

This is called wave-particle duality.

And while being in relation to what is there in one way so that this form appears, it is not possible to be in relation to what is there in the opposite way, and so not possible to make the opposite form appear.

This is called uncertainty.

ISSN: 2153-8212

Wave-particle duality and uncertainty. The two pillars upon which all quantum theory rests, and which two pillars refute the notion that form has an objective existence, or any existence, apart from the Formlessness by which it is apprehended.

The related paradoxes of wave-particle duality and uncertainty arise owing to the unavoidable creation of opposite and mutually exclusive forms that occurs whenever the Formless tries to grasp Itself, tries to know Itself, through form.

When the Formless tries to grasp Itself, as occurs whenever we, as that Formlessness, try to grasp or know, through some experience, the ultimate nature of reality, the Formless forms a relation with itself. And as a result of forming this relation with Itself, form is created and then apprehended as a particular experience.

But for every relation of the Formless to Itself that creates every form there is an opposite and mutually exclusive relation of the Formless to Itself that must also be possible, and which relation, if it were to occur, would create the opposite form apprehended as the opposite experience.

And so, when scientists tried to grasp the ultimate nature of what is there where physical objects appear to be, what was there seemed to separate into the paradox of opposite and mutually exclusive physical experiences.

What is actually there where any physical object appears to be is not a form, mental or physical. What is actually there where any physical object appears to be is the same formless Consciousness that apprehends those objects, those forms.

But when formless Consciousness tries to grasp what is ultimately its own Formlessness, the relation of the Formless to itself that then occurs always creates a particular form that the Formless then apprehends as a particular experience, not because what is actually there is a form or actually has any form, but only because in the act of trying to grasp what is there, in the act of trying to know what is there, a relation of the Formless to Itself occurs and form is thereby created and apprehended by the Formless as an experience, which experience, which form, then seems to be what is there, when what is actually and ultimately always there is the Formlessness that apprehends the form that has been created through its relation to Itself.

And so at the quantum level it has become apparent that the way in which the observing Consciousness chooses to be in relation to what is there in order to "observe" what is there plays some role in determining the nature of the particular form, the nature of the particular experience, that then seems to be what is there.

It is as if we had a machine made of wood that we somehow thought produced trees. And then someone came along and took the machine apart to the point where the parts of the machine were found to have no existence outside the context of the trees they were thought to produce.

How can a machine produce that which its parts cannot themselves exist without?

How can form produce that which it cannot exist without?

Prior to quantum physics there was the assumption that in the absence of an apprehending Consciousness physical form still was.

And so, owing to this assumption, it was possible to believe that physical form could be prior to Consciousness and so could produce Consciousness.

However, quantum physics has shown that in the absence of an apprehending Consciousness there is no physical form, but only the potential for physical form to arise.

This makes problematic the assumption that in the absence of an apprehending Consciousness physical form still is, making it no longer tenable to believe that physical form can be prior to Consciousness, making absurd the notion that physical form somehow produces the Formlessness, the Consciousness, by which it is apprehended, and apart from which it cannot be demonstrated or said to even exist.

Name or think of one form, emotional, mental, or physical, of which you are not conscious, of which you are not aware.

It cannot be done.

Thus, the dependence of form upon the Formless, upon Consciousness, revealed by quantum physics, is really quite obvious.

And yet, because we live in a world that places form first, dominated by a science that places form first, the findings of quantum physics that reveal form to be that which is created, and so reveal form to be secondary, are simply ignored, because those findings conflict with the preconceived notion, with the belief, that form is primary and Consciousness secondary.

Such is the nature of beliefs, such is the nature of thoughts, such is the nature of forms, that because they are created by the involvement of the Formlessness which apprehends them in some relation with what is always ultimately Itself, that while held to, that while being created by the Formless, and so apprehended by the Formless, they make impossible the creation and apprehension by that same Formlessness of any opposite beliefs, thoughts, or forms.

This is also uncertainty, only now operating at the level of thought creation, where mental form is created, rather than at the level of physical creation, where physical form is created.

In the same way that a scientist's creation and observation of a particles' position makes impossible their simultaneous creation and observation of its momentum, a scientist's creation and apprehension of the idea of form as primary and Consciousness as secondary makes impossible their being able to simultaneously create and apprehend the opposite idea, wherein Consciousness is seen as primary and form is seen as secondary.

And so scientists, for the past one hundred years, have not really ignored the findings of quantum physics with regard to the revealed relation between form and Formlessness, because one can only ignore that which it is possible to apprehend.

Rather, scientists are blind to the findings of quantum physics with regard to the revealed relation between form and Formlessness because those findings simply cannot be comprehended by any scientist, or any person, that continues to maintain their belief in the primacy of form, which is to say, by any person that continues to be involved in the relation that creates the thought-form wherein form is seen as primary and That which apprehends form is seen as secondary.

Because to maintain their belief in the primacy of form, which belief is itself only a thoughtform, requires their continued involvement in a relation that makes impossible their involvement in the opposite and so mutually exclusive relation necessary to create the opposite idea, the opposite thought-form, wherein form would be seen as secondary, or as that which is created, rather than as that which creates.

Why do we apprehend emotional, mental and physical reality?

ISSN: 2153-8212

Science tells us that it is because the physical form we call brain became complex enough to create Consciousness.

And yet science also tells us that if we dig deep enough into physical form there really is no physical form, only the potential for physical form to arise when observed by the Formless.

And so why do we really apprehend emotional, mental and physical reality?

Because we are the uncreated Formlessness that is flowing in relation to Itself creating all these forms within our formless Self, and then apprehending as reality that which has arisen within our Self, becoming more complex, more entwined within our Self, and yet remaining always unchanged in our essential nature as formless uncreated Being.